

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,491	CISMOWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Daniel M. Sullivan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Shou Lee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed:

30, 33, 35, 39, 40, 73, 74, 76

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner requested authorization from applicant's representative for the Examiner's amendment mailed herewith.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)